Shri Veeru Singh, S/o Shri Dolla Singh, Village Ladhuka, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

..... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Sector 62, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Sector 62, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4897 of 2021

Present:- (i) Appellant- absent.

(ii) Shri Yoginder Singh, Senior Assistant O/o Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab in person, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>O R D E R</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 28.03.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Veeru Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person or through Cisco Webex. He has, however, informed through a telephonic message that his mobile is not working and has further stated that the requisite information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

3. Shri Yoginder Singh, Senior Assistant O/o Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab comes present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO/respondent. He produces letter dated 28.06.2022 along with letter dated 27.06.2022 addressed to appellant before the Bench whereby the appellant has been apprised of the present status of the issue raised by the appellant vide his RTI application.

Cont..P-2

AC No. 4897 of 2021

4. The Bench observes that the appellant has stated to have no mobile phone with him, as such, the Bench is not able to make any contact with him and ascertain his version vis-à-vis the PIO on the appeal case. Further, on enquiring from the representative of PIO, he states that nothing beyond the information supplied is available in the official records.

-2-

5. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.4897 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

With the aforesaid directions, the appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of 6. the orders be sent to the concerned parties. Copy of the letter dated 27.06.2022 be sent to the appellant along with the order.

Chandigarh 29.06.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Encl:Letter dated 27.06.2022

Shri Jang Bahadur, S/o Shri Jai Chand, R/o Mandi Aminganj, Rorhan wali, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Mandi Amin Ganj, Distt. Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority: O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3928 of 2020

Present:- (i) Appellant- absent.

Shri Chander Bhan, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Mandi Amin Ganj, District Fazilka, in person.

<u>O R D E R</u>

(Heard through cisco webex)

1. This Order may be read with reference to the Order dated 28.03.2022 passed by this

Bench on the previous hearing.

(ii)

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Jang Bahadur, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person or through cisco webex.

3. Mr. Chander Bhan, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Mandi Amin Ganj comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that he has supplied similar information to the appellant in a case which was under consideration with ADC (Dev.), Fazilka.

4. The appellant is contacted on mobile phone and is made to talk to the PIO Shri Chander Bhan, who assures the appellant to supply information on priority immediately as the same is available with him and was supplied earlier in another case. Then, on enquiring from the appellant, he confirms receipt of compensation amount of Rs.5000/- and further states that as he has been assured by the Panchayat Secretary to supply him requisite information, the appeal case may be closed.

Cont..P-2

Appeal Case No. 3928 of 2020

5. Mr. Chander Bhan, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Mandi Amin Ganj is directed to send copy of information again to the appellant as assured by him on priority.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.Copies of the Order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 29.06.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

-2-

Shri Jaswant Singh,

S/o Shri Bagga Singh, R/o Village Hazara Singh Wala, Post Office Mamdot, Tehsil & Distt.Ferozepur.

..... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Hazara Singh Wala, Block Mamdot, Distt. Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Distt. Dev. &Panchayat Officer, Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1827 of 2021

Present:- (i) Shri Jaswant Singh, Appellant. (ii) Shri Pargat Singh, Panchayat Secretary/PIO, Gram Panchayat, Hazara, Block Mamdot, District Ferozepur.

(Heard through Cisco Webex)

1. This Order may be read with reference to the order dated 28.03.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. Shri Jaswant Singh, appella

2. Shri Jaswant Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through CISCO Webex. He states that information has been supplied to him but the same is unattested by the PIO. He further states that the refund of Rs.200/- has not been received by him.

3. Shri Pargat Singh, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Hazara Singh Wala comes present to attend the hearing through CISCO Webex and states that the compensation amount has been given to the appellant and that the affidavit as per directions of the Bench, is also ready but the appellant has not collected the same in spite of his repeated requests to collect the amount of Rs.200/- as well as the affidavit.

Cont.P-2



..2.. Appeal Case No. 1827 of 2021

4. The PIO is directed to provide complete information to the appellant duly attested by PIO and refund him the amount of Rs.200/-. He is also directed to file an affidavit duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public as directed on the previous hearing. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record. On assurance given by the PIO, the Bench is of the opinion that no further intervention in the matter is needed.

5. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.Copies of the Order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 29.06.2022

Sd/-((Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Jagmohan Singh Sidhu, B-36/365, Lane No.4, Vikas Nagar, Pakhowal Road, Ludhiana.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Ludhiana-1, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority: O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,

Ludhiana-1, District Ludhiana.

..Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4708 of 2021

Present:- (i) Appellant- absent. (ii) None on behalf of PIO/Respondent.

1. This Order may be read with reference to the order dated 28.03.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. Shri Jagmohan Singh Sidhu, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench or through Cisco Webex. However, an e.mail is available in the case file received on 15.04.2022 stating to have received an undated letter from the PIO and that he is unable to attend the hearing.

3. The PIO O/o BDPO, Ludhiana-1 has also not come present to attend the hearing either in person or through Cisco Webex. However, a letter from the Panchayat Secretary/PIO is available in the case file whereby the PIO has explained delay in supply of information.

4. To ascertain the latest status, the Bench makes efforts to find if any contact number of the appellant is available, but no contact number is found.



AC No.4708/2021

5. From the perusal of documents available on case file, the Bench observes that information has been sent to the appellant by respondent on 11.01.2022. The Bench further remarks that this is the third consecutive hearing for which the appellant has absented himself without any prior intimation which shows his lack of interest in pursuing the appeal case.

-2-

6. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter.The instant appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the Order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 29.06.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Cisco Webex No.15857-23975 Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Sukhdev Singh, S/o Late Shri Lal Singh #5077, Urban Estate, 26.76 Acre Scheme, Phase-1, Patiala. A HEAR ALL SECOND

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Administrator, Patiala Development Authority,

....Respondents

AC No. 1278 of 2022

Present:(i)Shri Sukhdev Singh, Appellant, in person.(ii)Mrs. Sheenu Jindal, Clerk, O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala,
in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

Patiala.

1. The RTI application is dated 16.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 23.08.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.03.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Sukhdev Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that he has sent a letter to P.D.A. Patiala with regard to which, he has sought information i.e. action taken and the officer to whom it has been marked and the report submitted by the said officer, but the same has not been supplied to him so far.

3. Mrs. Sheenu Jindal, Clerk O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala comes present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing on behalf of PIO. She states that the appellant has supplied information vide letter dated 17.06.2022 by registered post.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant admits the receipt of information. He, however, expresses dis-satisfaction over the information supplied. Cont..P-2

AC No.1278/2022

5. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO/Assistant Estate Officer, P.D.A. Patiala to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the appellant visited the office of PIO and he was shown the related record and the information as available in the official records in AC No.1278 of 2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the information supplied is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. With the aforesaid directions, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the orders be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 29.06.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Cisco Webex No.15857-23975 Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Sukhdev Singh, S/o Late Shri Lal Singh #5077, Urban Estate, 26.76 Acre Scheme, Phase-1, Patiala.

Versus

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Administrator, Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

....Respondents

AC No. 1277 of 2022

Present:

(ii)

(i)

Shri Sukhdev Singh, Appellant, in person. Mrs. Sheenu Jindal, Clerk, O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 18.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 23.08.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.03.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Sukhdev Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that the inhabitants of the colony had sent a letter to P.D.A. Patiala for construction of a wall on commercial side of Urban Estate, Patiala and he has sought information i.e. action taken report and the officer to whom it has been marked and the report submitted by the said officer, but the same has not been supplied to him so far.

3. Mrs. Sheenu Jindal, Clerk O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala comes present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing on behalf of PIO. She states that letter as at Point No.1 is being traced out in the office and the appellant has supplied information on point no.2 vide letter dated 24.06.2022 by registered post. Cont.P-2

AC No.1277/2022

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant admits the receipt of copy of letter but no action taken report on that letter has been given. He, however, expresses dis-satisfaction over the information supplied on point No.2.

5. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO/Assistant Estate Officer, P.D.A. Patiala to call the appellant to office of P.D.A. and allow him access to the relevant official records and after perusal of the records by the appellant, point wise reply be given to the appellant before the next hearing. The PIO is also directed to come present in person before the Bench along with the relevant records on the next hearing.

With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
30.08.2022 at 11.30 AM in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 29.06.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

-2-

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex No.15857-23975 Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Shri Sukhdev Singh, S/o Late Shri Lal Singh #5077, Urban Estate, 26.76 Acre Scheme, Phase-1, Patiala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Chief Administrator, PUDA. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Administrator. PUDA, Mohali.

....Respondents

AC No. 1275 of 2022

Shri Sukhdev Singh, Appellant, in person. Present: (i) Mrs. Sheenu Jindal, Clerk, O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala, (ii) in person.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 04.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information 1. as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 23.08.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.03.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Sukhdev Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Mrs. Sheenu Jindal, Clerk O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala comes present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing on behalf of PIO. She states that RTI application has been received from PUDA, Mohali u/s 6(3) of RTI Act and the appellant has been supplied information vide letter dated 01.06.2022 by registered post.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant confirms the receipt of information and gives his consent to close the case. Cont..P-2



5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. **As such, the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the orders be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to:

Chandigarh 29.06.2022

Public Information Officer, Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975 Helpline No.: 0172-2864100 (From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

d T BEAT BEAT

Shri Rabinder Singh Flat No.212, Palm Heights, Haibatpur Raod, Industrial Land Zone, Dera Bassi,

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

District Mohali-140507.

O/o Asstt. Executive Engineer(Operations), Sub Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL),Dera Bassi, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Engineer, DS (South) Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Patiala.

...Respondents

AC No.658/2022

Present:(i)Shri Rabinder Singh, Appellant, in person.(ii)Shri Varinder Kumar, S.D.C. O/o Asstt. XEN, Dera Bassi,
on behalf of the PIO/Respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Rabinder Singh, appellant comes present to attend

the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Shri Varinder Kumar, Sub Divisional Clerk, PSPCL, Dera Bassi, District Mohali comes present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. He produces before the Bench letter dated 15.06.2022 stating therein that the information was supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 17.08.2021 and copy of same has been enclosed therewith. He also states that the receipt of information has been acknowledged by the appellant on the letter itself on 16.06.2022.

Cont..P-2



AC No.658/2022

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant expresses his satisfaction over the information supplied. He, however, states that information has been inordinately delayed by the PIO.

-2-

5. On perusal of the case file, the Bench is not inclined to proceed further in the appeal case. As such, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 29.06.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab